IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application:) Title:
Vincent Park et. al.) Paging Methods and Apparatus
Application No: 10/774,561	Confirmation No.: 1112
Filed: February 29, 2004	Examiner: Willie J. Daniel, Jr.
Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3	Group Art Unit: 2617

PETITION TO RECTIFY IMPROPER ACTION BY THE EXAMINER UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181(a)

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Petitioners request urgent decision for this petition in light of the due date to respond to the Examiner's Office Action dated February 5, 2009 has passed, with cumulative extensions of time needed if Petitioners are in a position to file a response.

Petitioners received an Office Action dated February 5, 2009 for the above-identified application as non-responsive under MPEP § 821.03. Petitioners hereby petition the Commissioner to rectify the Examiner's improper action for the instant application.

Prior to the aforementioned Office Action, Petitioners received an earlier Office Action dated October 7, 2008. Petitioners filed a Response to said earlier Office Action on November 11, 2008. A copy of the filed Response is attached herewith as Exhibit A.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

In both of the aforesaid Office Actions, the Examiner repeatedly denied entries of

Petitioners' reponses as non-complicant under MPEP § 821.03.

Petitioners respectifully submit that Petitioners' responses are not inconsistent with

MPEP § 821.03. The source of the contention stems from the lack of proper restriction

requirements by the Examiner throughout the application. MPEP § 814 specifically states that:

The Examiner must provide a clear and detailed record of the restriction

requirement to provide a clear demarcation between restricted inventions so that it can be determined whether the inventions claimed in a continuing application are consonant with

the restriction requirement and therefore subject to the prohibition against double

patenting rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 121.

Petitioners carefully studied the file record and found no clear and detailed record of the

any restriction requirement as mandated under MPEP § 814.

Specifically, in reply to the Office Action mailed on June 13, 2006, Petitioners filed a

response on December 15, 2005 but was denied entry as not responsive under MPEP § 821.03.

The denial was improper in the first place because there had not been any restriction requirement

by the Office prior to June 13, 2006. Instead, the restriction requirement and the denial of entry

under MPEP § 821.03 were made in the same Office Action of June 13, 2006, wherein the

paragraphs under the "Election/Restrictions" session merely reiterated the claims in verbatim and

certainly is not "clear and detailed" as required under MPEP § 814.

Nor was there any clear and detailed restriction requirement in the latest Office Actions of

February 5, 2009 and October 7, 2008. Again, the claims were merely repeated in the

requirements with no rationale provided for support as to why the claim groups are independent

and/or distinct.

Lack of proper restriction requirements can jeopardize Petitioners' later decision to file

any divisional application. The law is settled that without a proper restriction requirement,

patents issued from an applicant's divisional applications could be later held invalid. Geneva Pharms. Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC, 349 F.3d 1373, 1381, 68 USPQ.2d 1865, 1871 (Fed. Cir. 2003).

Petitioners telephoned Examiner Willie J. Daniel Jr. and his supervisor Mr. Charles Appiah on March 6, 2009 for resolution. No response has been received.

In light of the forgoing, Petitioners respectfully request Petitioners' petition be granted. Specifically, Petitioners respectfully request the Examiner's reconsideration and entry of Petitioners' response filed on June 16, 2008. Should the restriction requirement be maintained, Petitioners respectfully request a clear demarcation of the requirement put on record pursuant to MPEP § 817.

In the event of any fees that may be due or any overpayments that may be associated with this petition, please charge or deposit the amount to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 11, 2009

Kam T. Tam, Reg. No. 35,756

(858) 651-5563

QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, California 92121 Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

Exhibit A

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application:) Title:
Vincent Park, et. al.	Paging Method and Apparatus
Application No: 10/774,561	Confirmation No.: 1112
)
Filed: February 9, 2004) Examiner: Willie J. Daniel, Jr.
) Group Art Unit: 2617
)
Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3)

RESPONSE

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 7, 2008, please find Applicants' response as set forth below. Applicants hereby petition for a one-month (1-month) Extension of Time.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3.

PENDING CLAIMS AS AMENDED

Claims 1-57. (Canceled)

58. (Previously Presented) A system for distributed packet-based paging having a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging information over corresponding access links, the plurality of access nodes serving a plurality of end nodes, each end node being associated with, and configured to receive a page from, at least one access node,

the system further characterized in that each of the plurality of access nodes comprises at least one of a paging requirements determination (PRD) module and a paging resource control (PRC) module,

where each PRD module determines paging requirements to send to a PRC module in communication with an intended end node of a page, the paging requirements being determined at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the access node in which the PRD module resides, and

where each PRC module provides PRC functionality in accordance with the paging requirements received from the PRD module, where the PRC functionality includes controlling at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging operations, and (iii) the generation of pages to an intended end node.

59. (Previously Presented) An access node for use in a system with distributed packet-based paging and characterized by a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging information over corresponding access links and a plurality of end nodes associated with, and configured to receive a page from, at least one access node, the access node comprising at least one of:

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

PATENT .

a paging requirements determination (PRD) module and a paging resource control (PRC)

module,

the PRD module determining paging requirements to send to a PRC module in

communication with an intended end node of a page, the paging requirements being

determined at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload

field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a

corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the

access node in which the PRD module resides, and

the PRC module providing PRC functionality in accordance with the paging

requirements received from the PRD module, where the PRC functionality includes

controlling at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging operations, and (iii) the

generation of pages to an intended end node.

60. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 59, wherein the PRD module further

includes:

a monitoring agent module that determines when to initiate a page to the intended end

node;

a tracking agent module that tracks the location of end nodes based on received location

update signals; and

an anchor paging agent module that coordinates page request signaling to the intended

node.

61. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 59, wherein the PRC module further

includes:

a local paging agent module that coordinates signaling between the PRD module and

other access nodes.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

62. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 59, wherein the exchange of the paging

information is based on an Internet protocol (IP).

63. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 62, wherein the PRD module determines the

paging requirements based on matching IP datagrams to specific paging requirements.

64. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 59, wherein at least one of the determined

paging requirements is indicative of a quality of service (QoS).

65. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 64, wherein the QoS includes a page

transmission timing constraint, wherein the page transmission timing constraint indicates paging

latency information and specifies an upper bound on paging delay.

66. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 64, wherein the QoS is one of a plurality of

levels.

67. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 64, wherein the QoS requires at least one of

transmission of the page multiple times and retransmission of the page at least once in the

absence of an acknowledgment.

68. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 59, wherein the determined paging

requirements includes determining whether a plurality of paging requests are associated as a

group with a common quality of service indicator; and the PRC functionality includes allocating

a fraction of paging channel capacity or paging transmission opportunities to the plurality of page

requests associated with the group.

69. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 59, wherein the determined paging

requirements includes information indicating a state of device operation in which an end node to

which the page is directed is to operate after receiving the page.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

70. (Previously Presented) A method for communicating paging information by an access node for use in a system with distributed packet-based paging and characterized by a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging information over corresponding access links and a plurality of end nodes associated with, and configured to receive a page from, at least one access node, the access node comprising at least one of a paging requirements determination (PRD) module and a paging resource control (PRC) module, the method comprising:

determining, by the PRD module, paging requirements to send to a PRC module in communication with an intended end node of a page, the paging requirements being determined at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the access node in which the PRD module resides, and

controlling, by the PRC module, in accordance with the paging requirements received from the PRD module, at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging operations, and (iii) the generation of pages to an intended end node.

71. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 70, further comprising:

determining, by the PRD module, when to initiate a page to the intended end node;

tracking, by the PRD module, the location of end nodes based on received location update
signals; and

coordinating, by the PRD module, page request signaling to the intended end node.

- 72. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 70, further comprising:
 coordinating signaling, by the PRC module, between the PRD module of one access node
 and other access nodes.
- 73. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 70, wherein the exchange of the paging information is based on an Internet protocol (IP).

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

74. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 73, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining the paging requirements based on matching IP datagrams to

specific paging requirements.

75. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 70, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining that at least one paging requirement is indicative of a quality

of service (QoS).

76. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 75, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining that the QoS includes a page transmission timing constraint,

wherein the page transmission timing constraint indicates paging latency information and

specifies an upper bound on paging delay.

77. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 75, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining that the QoS is one of a plurality of levels.

78. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 75, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining that the QoS requires at least one of transmission of the page

multiple times and retransmission of the page at least once in the absence of an acknowledgment.

79. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 70, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining whether a plurality of paging requests are associated as a

group with a common quality of service indicator; and further comprising:

allocating by the PRC module a fraction of paging channel capacity or paging

transmission opportunities to the plurality of page requests associated with the group.

80. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 70, wherein the determining of the paging

requirements includes determining that the paging requirements includes information indicative

Attorney Docker No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

of a state of device operation in which an end node to which the page is directed is to operate

after receiving the page.

81. (Previously Presented) A computer program product including a computer readable medium

having instructions for a processor of an access node for use in a system with distributed packet-

based paging and characterized by a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging

information over corresponding access links and a plurality of end nodes associated with, and

configured to receive a page from, at least one access node, the access node comprising at least

one of a paging requirements determination (PRD) module and a paging resource control (PRC)

module, the instructions causing the processor to:

determine, by the PRD module, paging requirements to send to a PRC module in

communication with an intended end node of a page, the paging requirements being

determined at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload

field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a

corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the

access node in which the PRD module resides, and

control, by the PRC module, in accordance with the paging requirements

received from the PRD module, at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging

operations, and (iii) the generation of pages to an intended end node.

82. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 81, further comprising

instructions for causing the processor to:

determine, by the PRD module, when to initiate the page to the intended end nodet;

track, by the PRD module, the location of end nodes based on received location update

signals; and

coordinate, by the PRD module, a page request signaling to the intended end nodes.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

83. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 81, further comprising

instructions for causing the processor to:

coordinate signaling by the PRC module between the PRD module of one access node

and other access nodes.

84. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 81, wherein the exchange of

the paging information is based on an Internet protocol (IP).

85. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 84, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

the processor to determine the paging requirements based on matching IP datagrams to specific

paging requirements.

86. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 81, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

the processor to determine that at least one paging requirement is indicative of a quality of

service (QoS).

87. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 86, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

the processor to determine that the OoS includes a page transmission timing constraint, wherein

the page transmission timing constraint indicates paging latency and specifies an upper bound on

paging delay.

88. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 86, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

the processor to determine that the QoS is one of a plurality of levels.

89. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 86, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

the processor to determine that the QoS requires at least one of transmission of the page multiple

times and retransmission of the page at least once in the absence of an acknowledgment.

90. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 81, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

the processor to determine whether a plurality of paging requests are associated as a group with a

common quality of service indicator; and further comprising instructions for causing the

processor to allocate by the PRC module a fraction of paging channel capacity or paging

transmission opportunities to the plurality of page requests associated with the group.

91. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 81, wherein the instructions

for causing the processor to determine the paging requirements includes instructions for causing

the processor to determine that the paging requirements includes information indicative of a state

of device operation in which an end node to which the page is directed is to operate after

receiving the page.

92. (Previously Presented) An access node for use in a system with distributed packet-based

paging and characterized by a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging

information over corresponding access links and a plurality of end nodes associated with, and

configured to receive a page from, at least one access node, the access node comprising at least

one of:

first means and second means,

the first means determining paging requirements to send to a PRC module in

communication with an intended end node of a page, the paging requirements being

determined at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload

field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a

corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the

access node in which the PRD module resides, and

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

the second means providing PRC functionality in accordance with the paging

requirements received from the PRD module, where the PRC functionality includes

controlling at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging operations, and (iii) the

generation of pages to an intended end node.

93. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 92, wherein the first means further includes:

means for determining when to initiate the page to the intended end node;

means for tracking a location of end nodes based on received location update signals; and

means for coordinating page request signaling to the intended end node.

94. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 92, further comprising:

means for coordinating by the second means signaling between the first means of one

access node and other access nodes.

95. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 92, wherein the exchange of the paging

information is based on an Internet protocol (IP).

96. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 95, wherein the first means includes means

for determining the paging requirement based on matching IP datagrams to specific paging

requirements.

97. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 92, wherein the first means includes means

for determining that at least one paging requirement is indicative of a quality of service (QoS).

98. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 97, wherein the QoS includes a page

transmission timing constraint, wherein the page transmission timing constraint indicates paging

latency information and specifies an upper bound on paging delay.

Attorney Docker No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

99. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 97, wherein the QoS is one of a plurality of levels.

100. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 97, wherein the QoS requires at least one of transmission of the page multiple times and retransmission of the page at least once in the absence of an acknowledgment.

101. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 92, wherein the first means includes means for the determining whether a plurality of paging requests are associated as a group with a common quality of service indicator; and the second means includes means for allocating a fraction of paging channel capacity or paging transmission opportunities to the plurality of page requests associated with the group.

102. (Previously Presented) The access node of claim 92, wherein the first means includes means for determining that the paging requirements includes information indicating a state of device operation in which an end node to which the page is directed is to operate after receiving the page.

103. (Previously Presented) An end node for use in a system with distributed packet-based paging and characterized by a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging information over corresponding access links and a plurality of end nodes associated with, and configured to receive a page from, at least one access node, the distributed packet-based paging system further characterized in that each of the plurality of access nodes includes at least one of a paging requirements determination (PRD) module and a paging resource control (PRC) module, where each PRD module determines paging requirements to send to a PRC module currently in communication with the intended end node of the page, the paging requirements being derived at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the access node in which the PRD module resides, and each PRC module provides PRC functionality in accordance with paging

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

requirements received from the PRD module, where the PRC functionality includes controlling at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging operations, and (iii) the generation of pages to an intended end node,

the end node comprising:

means for taking a first action when receiving a first page from a first access node having a first PRC module, where the first PRC module generates the first page to the end node on the basis of a data message received by a first PRD module; and

means for taking a second action when receiving a second page, different from the first page, from a second access node having a second PRC module, where the second PRC module generates the second page on the basis of the same data message received by a second PRD module.

104. (Previously Presented) A method for receiving a page by an end node in a system with distributed packet-based paging and characterized by a plurality of access nodes configured to exchange paging information over corresponding access links and a plurality of end nodes associated with, and configured to receive the page from, at least one access node, the distributed packet-based paging system further characterized in that each of the plurality of access nodes includes at least one of a paging requirements determination (PRD) module and a paging resource control (PRC) module, where each PRD module determines paging requirements to send to a PRC module currently in communication with the intended end node of the page, the paging requirements being derived at least in part (i) from analyzing at least one of a header field and payload field, using a packet classification technique, from a data message received over a corresponding access link and (ii) from stored information uniquely associated with the access node in which the PRD module resides, and each PRC module provides PRC functionality in accordance with paging requirements received from a PRD module, where the PRC functionality includes controlling at least one of (i) paging resources, (ii) paging operations, and (iii) the generation of pages to an intended end node.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

the method comprising:

taking a first action when receiving a first page from a first access node having a first PRC module, where the first PRC module generates the first page to the end node on the basis of a data message received by a first PRD module; and

taking a second action when receiving a second page, different from the first page, from a second access node having a second PRC module, where the second PRC module generates the second page on the basis of the same data message received by a second PRD module.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

REMARKS

Claims 58-104 are pending in the application. In the aforementioned Office Action, the

Examiner alleged Applicants' response filed on June 16, 2008 as non-responsive under MPEP §

821.03.

To begin with, there has not been any proper restriction requirement in this application.

Yet, for the sake of argument, assuming that the restriction requirement in the prior actions were

proper, it is respectfully submitted that Applicants' latest response is not inconsistent with MPEP

§ 821.03.

MPEP § 821.03 is essentially an elaboration of 37 C.F.R. § 1.145, which states:

If, after an office action on an application, the applicant presents claims directed to an invention distinct from and independent of the invention previously claimed, the

applicant will be required to restrict the claims to the invention previously claimed if the

amendment is entered, subject to reconsideration and review as provide in §§ 1.144.

Here, Applicants' new claims 58-104 are basically directed to the same inventive subject

matter as previously claimed, i.e., canceled claims 1-19, 27-34 and 46-57. Specifically, all the

aforementioned claims concern with an access node which can be a base station. Under MPEP §

714, Applicants is entitled to rewrite and present new claims in response to an office action. As

in this case, Applicants merely canceled claims 1-19, 27-34 and 46-57 and presented new claims

58-104 in Applicants' reply dated June 16, 2008 for re-consideration in response to the

Examiner's rejection.

Nevertheless, the Examiner's attention is directed to relevant part of the MPEP § 814

which states:

The Examiner <u>must</u> provide a <u>clear and detailed record</u> of the restriction requirement to provide a clear demarcation between restricted inventions so that it can be

determined whether the inventions claimed in a continuing application are consonant with

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

1.4

the restriction requirement and therefore subject to the prohibition against double

patenting rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 121.

As is in this case, Applicants may later file divisional applications of the non-elected

claims. Without a proper restriction requirement, patents issued from Applicants' divisional

applications could be later held invalid. Geneva Pharms. Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC, 349 F.3d

1373, 1381, 68 USPQ.2d 1865, 1871 (Fed. Cir. 2003):

Applicants carefully studied the file record and found no clear and detailed record of the

any restriction requirement as mandated under MPEP § 814.

Specifically, in reply to the Office Action mailed on June 13, 2006, Applicants' filed a

response on December 15, 2005 but was denied entry as not responsive under MPEP § 821.03.

The denial was improper in the first place because there had not been any restriction requirement

by the Office prior to June 13, 2006. Instead, the restriction requirement and the denial of entry

under MPEP § 821.03 were made in the same Office Action of June 13, 2006, wherein the

paragraphs under the "Election/Restrictions" session merely reiterated the claims in verbatim and

certainly is not "clear and detailed" as required under MPEP § 814.

Nor was there any clear and detailed restriction requirement in the latest Office Action of

October 7, 2008. Again, the claims were merely repeated in the Office Action of October 7,

2008 with no rationale provided for support as to why the claim groups are independent and/or

distinct.

In light of the forgoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and entry of

Applicants' reply filed on June 16, 2008. Further, should the Examiner maintain the restriction

requirement, Applicants respectfully request a clear demarcation of the requirement put on record

pursuant to MPEP § 817.

Attorney Docket No.: 060568U3

Customer No.: 23696

In the event of any fees that may be due or any overpayments that may be associated with this response, please charge or deposit the amount to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 11, 2008

Kom T Tom Reg No. 3

(858) 651-5563

QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, California 92121

Telephone: Facsimile:

(858) 658-5787 (858) 658-2502